## AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

## Listing of Claims:

 (Currently Amended) A method for monitoring a process, the method comprising:

creating a signature representative of the process:

continuously updating the created signature with a weighting scheme; and detecting abnormalities based upon the continuously—updated signature.

wherein the process is related to usage of networked computing devices in a datacenter. and

wherein the signature includes information related to time\_—sensitive averaging that accounts for variation in a business cycle[[.]], and

wherein the weighting scheme consists of a first weighting factor that represents a continuously-updated signature weight and a second weighting factor that represents a current data weight.

- (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein creating a signature comprises calculating an average and a standard deviation.
- (Currently Amended) The method of claim 2, wherein creating a signature comprises accelerated learning through setting a learning responsiveness ratio and incrementally increasing athe learning responsiveness ratio until the learning responsiveness ratio reaches a desired value,

3136405v4 Page 2 of 19

304947.02/MFCP.107184

Application No. 10/685,472 Response Filed 12/08/2008

Reply to Office Action of 09/08/2008

wherein the learning responsiveness ratio is equated to the second weighting

factor divided by the first weighting factor.

4. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein creating a signature comprises

initially repeating a running average and standard deviation through a plurality of intervals.

5. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein updating the

created signature comprises ensuring that recently-recorded data has a greater impact than older

data by setting the secondusing a weighting factor to a value greater than the first weighting

factorensure that recently recorded data has a greater impact than older data,

6. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein updating the created signature

comprises utilizing a moving average over a time to account for events occurring at unexpected

times.

7. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein detecting abnormalities

comprises determining if measured values are above an upper threshold or below a lower

threshold

8. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising calculating upper

and lower threshold limits based on jitter offset.

9. (Previously Presented) A computer storage medium having computer

executable instructions for performing the method of claim 1.

10. (Currently Amended) A method for detecting abnormalities occurring

during a process based upon a continuously updated signature representative of the process, the

method comprising:

3136405v4 Page 3 of 19

creating a signature representative of the process:

continuously updating the created signature with a weighting scheme;

continuously monitoring a system parameter;

computing a normal range of values for the system parameter based on the

continuously-updated signature;

determining if the monitored system parameter is within the normal range;

and

indicating existence of an abnormality if the monitored system parameter

is outside of the normal range,

wherein the process is related to usage of networked computing devices in

a datacenter[[.]], and

wherein the weighting scheme consists of a first weighting factor that

represents a continuously-updated signature weight and a second weighting factor

that represents a current data weight.

11. (Original) The method of claim 10, further comprising creating a signature

by calculating an average and a standard deviation.

12. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 11, wherein creating a

signature comprises accelerated learning through setting a learning responsiveness ratio and

incrementally increasing athe learning responsiveness ratio until the learning responsiveness

ratio reaches a desired value,

wherein the learning responsiveness ratio is equated to the second weighting

factor divided by the first weighting factor.

3136405v4 Page 4 of 19

Reply to Office Action of 09/08/2008

(Original) The method of claim 11, wherein creating a signature comprises

initially repeating the running average and standard deviation through a plurality of intervals.

14. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 10, wherein computing a

normal range of values comprises using a ensuring that recently-recorded data has a greater

impact than older data by setting the second weighting factor to a value greater than the first

weighting factorensure that recently recorded data has a greater impact than older data.

15. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein computing a normal range of

values comprises utilizing a moving average over a time to account for events occurring at

unexpected times.

16. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein determining whether a

monitored system parameter is within a normal range of values comprises determining if

monitored system parameters are above an upper threshold or below a lower threshold.

17. (Original) The method of claim 16, further comprising calculating upper

and lower threshold limits based on iitter offset.

18. (Previously Presented) A computer storage medium having computer

executable instructions for performing the method of claim 10.

19. (Currently Amended) A method for creating a signature useful for

detecting abnormalities in a computing system environment, the method comprising:

setting a learning responsiveness ratio;

monitoring a system parameter;

3136405v4 Page 5 of 19

adjusting the learning responsiveness ratio at fixed intervals until a desired value is reached:

calculating an average and standard deviation for each interval; <u>and</u>
using the average, standard deviation and learning responsiveness ratio to
create the signature,

wherein the learning responsiveness ratio is equated to a weighting factor that represents current data divided by a weighting factor that represents the signature.

wherein the abnormalities in the computing system environment relate to usage of networked computing devices in a datacenter, and

wherein the signature includes information related to time—sensitive averaging that accounts for variation in a business cycle.

 (Currently Amended) The method of claim 19, further comprising continuously updating the created signature through a weighting scheme.

wherein the weighting scheme consists of a first weighting factor that represents a continuously-updated signature weight and a second weighting factor that represents a current data weight.

- 21. (Original) The method of claim 20, further comprising detecting abnormalities based on the updated signature.
- (Original) The method of claim 19, wherein creating a signature comprises initially repeating the running average and standard deviation through a plurality of intervals.

3136405v4 Page 6 of 19

Reply to Office Action of 09/08/2008

23. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 20, wherein updating the

created signature comprises using a ensuring that recently-recorded data has a greater impact

than older data by setting the second weighting factor to a value greater than the first weighting

factorensure that recently recorded data has a greater impact than older data.

24. (Original) The method of claim 20, wherein updating the created signature

comprises utilizing a moving average over a time to account for events occurring at unexpected

times.

25. (Original) The method of claim 21, wherein detecting abnormalities

comprises determining if measured values are above an upper threshold or below a lower

threshold.

26. (Original) The method of claim 21, further comprising calculating upper

and lower threshold limits based on iitter offset.

27. (Previously Presented) A computer storage medium having computer

executable instructions for performing the method of claim 19.

(Currently Amended) A computerized system including computer storage

medium for detecting abnormal activity in a computerized environment, the system comprising:

monitoring tools for continuously monitoring a system parameter;

a continuously-<u>updated</u> signature representative of <u>normaltypical</u> values

of the system parameter; and

an abnormality indicator calculated based on the continuously updated

signature, the abnormality indicator including a range of normaltypical values for

the system parameter,

3136405v4 Page 7 of 19

Reply to Office Action of 09/08/2008

wherein the signature is continuously updated with a weighting scheme,

wherein the weighting scheme consists of a first weighting factor

representing the weight of the continuously-updated signature and a second

weighting factor representing the weight of current data.

wherein the abnormal activity is related to abnormal usage of networked

computing devices in a datacenter, and

wherein the signature includes information related to time--sensitive

averaging that accounts for variation in a business cycle.

29 (Currently Amended) The system of claim 28, wherein the continuously--

updated signature comprises an average and a standard deviation.

30. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 28, wherein the continuously--

updated signature comprises athe second weighting factor having a greater value than the first

weighting factor to ensure that recently recorded data has a greater impact than older data,

31. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 28, wherein the continuously--

updated signature comprises a moving average over time to account for events occurring at

unexpected times.

32 (Original) The system of claim 28, wherein the abnormality indicator

determines whether a monitored system parameter is within a normal range of values and

whether monitored system parameters are above an upper threshold or below a lower threshold.

33. (Original) The method of claim 28, wherein the abnormality indicator

calculates upper and lower threshold limits based on jitter offset.

Page 8 of 19 3136405v4

Reply to Office Action of 09/08/2008

34 (Currently Amended) A computerized monitoring system including

computer storage medium for monitoring a process, the monitoring system comprising:

a signature creation module for creating a signature representative of the

process;

a signature updating module for continuously updating the created

signature; and

an abnormality detection module for detecting abnormalities based upon

deviations from the updated signature,

wherein the signature is continuously updated with a weighting scheme,

wherein the weighting scheme consists of a first weighting factor that

represents a continuously-updated signature weight and a second weighting factor

that represents a current data weight,

wherein the process is related to usage of networked computing devices in

a datacenter, and

wherein the signature includes information related to time sensitive

averaging that accounts for variation in a business cycle.

35. (Original) The system of claim 34, wherein the signature creation module

includes tools for calculating an average and a standard deviation,

36. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 35, wherein the signature

creation module comprises tools for performing accelerated learning through incrementally

increasing a learning responsiveness ratio until the learning responsiveness ratio reaches a

desired value.

Page 9 of 19 3136405v4

304947.02/MFCP.107184

Application No. 10/685,472 Response Filed 12/08/2008

Reply to Office Action of 09/08/2008

wherein the learning responsiveness ratio is equated to the second weighting

factor divided by the first weighting factor.

37. (Original) The system of claim 35, wherein creating a signature comprises

initially repeating the running average and standard deviation through a plurality of intervals,

38. (Currently Amended) The system of claim 34, wherein the signature

updating module comprises tools for ensuring that recently-recorded data has a greater impact

than older data by setting the seconda weighting factor to a value greater than the first weighting

factorensure that recently recorded data has a greater impact than older data,

39. (Original) The system of claim 34, wherein the signature updating module

comprises tools for calculating a moving average over a time to account for events occurring at

unexpected times.

40. (Original) The system of claim 34, wherein the abnormality detection

module determines if monitored system parameters are above an upper threshold or below a

lower threshold.

41. (Original) The method of claim 34, wherein the abnormality detection

module includes a mechanism for calculating upper and lower threshold limits based on jitter

offset.

42. (Currently Amended) A method for distinguishing between normal and

abnormal behavior during a process, the method comprising:

creating a signature representative of the process;

continuously updating the created signature with a weighting scheme;

monitoring a system parameter:

3136405v4 Page 10 of 19

converting a numeric data stream representative of the monitored system parameter to a state for the process; and

distinguishing between normal and abnormal behavior based on the state,

wherein the process is related to usage of networked computing devices in a datacenter.

wherein the weighting scheme consists of a first weighting factor that represents a continuously-updated signature weight and a second weighting factor that represents a current data weight,

wherein the system parameter includes at least one of a usage variable, utilization, an error, and turn around time, and

wherein distinguishing between normal and abnormal behavior includes utilizing time sensitive averaging to account for variation in a business cycle.

- 43. (Original) The method of claim 42, further comprising converting the numeric data streams to multiple sub-states.
- (Original) The method of claim 42, further comprising determining a root cause of an abnormality based on the state.

3136405v4 Page 11 of 19